

SURVEY RESULTS ON THE QUALITY OF STUDIES 2015

Feedback report

General information


The scale used:

1	Strongly disagree
2	Disagree
3	Neither agree nor disagree
4	Agree
5	Strongly agree


Survey results on the quality of study subjects

Subject group	Total number of students in subjects	Responses received	Response rate	Assessment criteria are clear and transparent	I am satisfied with the subject	I gained new knowledge and skills in this course	The instructor clearly explains the course concepts	The instructor creates a learning environment that encourages student participation	The instructor makes the student feel welcome in seeking help in or outside the classroom	The time allocated to reach learning outcomes of this course is sufficient	Total average
Politics	133	32	24,06%	3,23	3,24	3,65	3,75	3,31	3,97	3,23	3,48
Economic	878	144	16,40%	3,75	3,67	3,99	3,86	3,67	3,85	3,91	3,81
Management	1533	170	11,09%	3,62	3,58	3,86	3,91	3,90	4,12	3,80	3,83
Fundamental	727	90	12,38%	4,05	3,84	4,27	3,91	3,88	3,97	3,88	3,97
Finance	436	67	15,37%	4,25	3,92	4,31	4,14	4,09	4,15	4,07	4,13
Humanities	811	109	13,44%	4,07	4,25	4,45	4,23	4,27	4,31	4,08	4,24

Study administration




Infrastructure



	The premises and surroundings of the university are appealing and neat.	The working places/furniture in the classrooms are functional and convenient.	The conditions (temperature, lightning, ventilation) in the classroom during the lectures are appropriate.	The working space for individual or group work is sufficient.
Series1	4,25	3,95	3,75	3,5

IT systems



	IT personnel deals with my problems and answers my questions	I am satisfied with IT infrastructure (computers, projectors, wi-fi, etc.)	ISM IT systems are user-friendly
Series1	3,9	3,15	3,3